| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/565,210 | ELIAS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Emily Pham | 2838 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non-final rejection</u> | | |
| (1) <i>Emily Pham</i> . | (3) | |
| (2) Michael Verga. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 9 March 2011 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: 60 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |